Table of Contents

	Session 1	
	Creating a Child - Friendly POCSO Court	
1.	Child Right International Network (CRIN), <i>Child-Friendly Justice and Children's Rights</i> . Available at: http://crin.org/resources/infodetail.asp?id=24828	01
2.	Guidelines on Interviewing a Child, in Model Guidelines under Section 39 of The Protection of Children from Sexual Offences Act, 2012, Ministry of Women and	0.5
3.	Child Development, Chapter 3, September (2013)	05
4.	Development, Chapter 8, September (2013)	17
5.	http://www.manupatrafast.in/pers/Personalized.aspx. Last visited on 23-02-2017 Geoffrey Shannon, <i>The Involvement of Children in the Court Process</i> , 1 Hibernian	27
6.	L.J. 275 2000	47
7.	Judges J. 15 2003	67 71
	Session 2	, -
	Recording & Appreciation of Evidence of Victim in Cases of Child Abuse	
1.	Guidelines for Recording of Evidence of Vulnerable Witnesses in Criminal Matters issued by the Delhi High Court	81
2.	Children and Vulnerable Adults, in Equal Treatment Bench Book, November (2013). Also available at: https://www.judiciary.gov.uk/wp-content/uploads/JCO/Documents/judicial-	
3.	college/ETBB_Children_Vulnerable_adults+_finalisedpdf	101
4.	Naila S. Awan, Balancing a Child's Right to be Heard with Protective Measures Undertaken in "the Best Interests of the Child": Does the International Criminal Court Get it Right?, 35 Child. Legal Rts. J. 98 2015	123 145
5.	Archana Parashar, <i>Paternalistic Law, Autonomous Child and Responsible Judges</i> , in Redefining Family Law in India, Routledge, 111 (New Delhi: 2008)	165
6.	Case Law ✓ <i>Sakshi v. Union of India</i> , [2004] Supp (2) SCR 723, AIR2004SC3566	183
	Session 3	
	Contours of Judicial Discretion & Special Courts	
1.	Retention of Discretion, in Sir William Wade & Christopher Forsyth, 10 ed., 259–285 (New York: Oxford University Press, 2009)	187
2.	Abuse of Discretion, in Sir William Wade & Christopher Forsyth, 10 ed., 286–367 (New York: Oxford University Press, 2009)	

3.	Judge Thomas A. Zonay, <i>Judicial Discretion: Ten Guidelines for Its Use</i> ; National Judicial College, May (2015). Available at: http://www.judges.org/judicial-discretion-ten-guidelines-for-its-use/
•	Nicola Gennaioli and Andrei Shleifer, <i>Judicial Fact Discretion</i> ; Journal of Legal Studies, vol. 37, page 1, January (2008)
	Stephen M. Waddam, <i>Judicial Discretion</i> , Oxford U. Commw. L.J. 59 (2001)
	Barry Hoffmaster, <i>Understanding Judicial Discretion</i> , 1(1) Law and Philosophy, 21-33 (Springer, 1982)
	Nathan Issacs, <i>The Limits of Judicial Discretion</i> , 32 Yale L.J. 339 (1922-23)
0.	Ronald Dworkin, <i>Judicial Discretion</i> , The Journal of Philosophy 60, no. 21, 624-38 (1963)
1. 2.	R. S. De Vere, <i>Discretion in Penalties</i> , 27 L. Q. Rev. 317 (1911)
	Session 4
	Challenges in Adjudication by POCSO Courts
1.	Report of Study on the working of Special Courts under the POCSO Act, 2012 in
	Delhi, by, Centre for Child and the Law, National law School of India, University, Bangalore 96-123 (2016)
	Dr.Mukesh Yadav, <i>Investigation in Rape Cases: Need for Proactive Approach</i> , Journal of Indian Acad Forensic Med. July-September 2014, Vol. 36, No. 3. ISSN 0971-0973; Available at: http://medind.nic.in/jal/t14/i3/jalt14i3p226.pdf Last visited on 18-08-2015
	Challenges before the Investigating Agency, Maharashtra State Consultation to Review The Protection of Children From Sexual Offences Act, 2012 8 and 9 August, 2014. Venue: Sahyadri State Guest House; Available at: file:///C:/Documents%20and%20Settings/Administrator/My%20Documents/Downloads/A2015312618_19%20(1).pdf, last accessed on 21-01-2017
	Case Law: ✓ Abuzar Hossain @ Gulam Hossain v. State of West Bengal,
	(2012)10SCC489 ✓ Jitendra Singh @ Babboo Singh v. State of U.P., 2013 (9) SCALE 18
	Session 5
	Presumption & Burden of Proof under POCSO Act
•	M.A.Rashid, <i>Re-writing the Concept of Burden of Proof Supreme Court Judgment in Sher Singh</i> @ <i>Partapa v. State of Haryana requires re-consideration</i> , Available at: http://www.livelaw.in/re-writing-concept-burden-proof-supreme-court-judgmentsher-singh-partapa-vs-state-haryana-requires-re-consideration/. Last accessed on 17-01-2017.
	WWW.DWW.VII 1 / VI 4VI / 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1

2.	Juhi Gupta, Interpretation of Reverse Onus Clauses, NUJS Law Review, Volume 5	
2	Issue 1 (2012)	533
3.	Dr. Pratap S. Malik, <i>Presumption under POCSO Act</i> , available at: http://www.academia.edu/12096708/Presumptions_Under_POCSO_Act	549
4.	Abhinav Sekhri, <i>Indian Constitutional Law and Philosophy</i> , published on May 08,	J T J
	2016. Available at: https://indconlawphil.wordpress.com/tag/reverse-onus/. Last	
	accessed on 12-02-2017	557
5.	Prashant Popat, Reverse Burden of Proof: Developments in the Law, in "Health,	550
6.	Safety & Environment" Seminar, 2003	559
0.	✓ Noor Aga v. State of Punjab, (2008)16SCC417	575
	Session 6	
	Obligation for Reporting of Child Abuse under the POCSO Act	
1.	Dr. Ben Mathews, Mandatory reporting laws: their origin, nature and development	
	over time. Available at: http://mandatenow.org.uk/wp-	
	content/uploads/2015/05/Chapter-1BEN-MATHEWS-Mandatory-reporting-laws-	
	310514.pdf	597
2.	LeRoy G. Schultz, Confidentiality, Privilege, and Child Abuse Reporting, 2 IPT	
_	(1990). Available at: http://www.ipt-forensics.com/journal/volume2/j2_4_5.htm	617
3.	Ben Mathews & Kerryann Walsh, Issues in Mandatory Reporting of Child Sexual	
	Abuse by Australian Teachers, Australia & New Zealand Journal of Law and	600
4	Education 9(2):pp. 3-17 (2004)	633
4.	Arpan, Towards Freedom from Child Sexual Abuse, Recounting Abuse, Reporting	
	Abusers: Reflections from Survivors on Mandatory Reporting. Available at: http://arpan.org.in/wp-content/uploads/2014/09/Mandatory-Report.pdf	647
5.	Jyoti Belur and Brijesh Bahadur Singh, <i>Child sexual abuse and the law in India: A</i>	047
<i>J</i> .	Commentary, Crime Sci (2015) 4:26. DOI: 10.1186/s40163-015-0037-2	673
6.	Guide to Mandatory Reporting, in Model Guidelines under Section 39 of The	075
٠.	Protection of Children from Sexual Offences Act, 2012, Ministry of Women and	
	Child Development, Chapter 9, September (2013)	679
	Session 7	
	Child Pornography & POCSO Law	
1.	Melissa Wells, David Finkelhor, Janis Wolak & Kimberly J. Mitchell, Defining	
	Child Pornography: Law Enforcement Dilemmas in Investigations of Internet Child	
	Pornography Possession, Police Practice and Research, Vol. 8, No. 3, , pp. 269–28,	
	(July 2007)	683
2.	Emily R. Novak, Lost in Cyberspace: An Analysis of How the Supreme Court May	
	Help Children Find Their Way Safely on the Internet,14 DePaul-LCA J. Art & Ent.	
2	L. 325 2004	697
3.	Legislations and Policies to protect Children online, in Child Online Protection in	
	India, UNICEF Unite for Children, Chapter 5. Page 76 (2016). Available at:	705
	http://unicef.in/Uploads/Publications/Resources/pub_doc115.pdf	735

4.	Child Online Protection Response System, in Child Online Protection in India,	
	UNICEF Unite for Children, Chapter 3. Page 48 (2016). Available at:	
	http://unicef.in/Uploads/Publications/Resources/pub_doc115.pdf	751
5.	Kathryn A. Kimball, Losing Our Soul: Judicial Discretion In Sentencing Child	
	Pornography Offenders, 63 Fla. L. Rev. 1515 (2011)	771
6.	Case Law:	
	✓ Avnish Bajaj v. State, (2005) 3 CompLJ 364 Del	805
	✓ Kamlesh Vaswani v. Union of India, (2014) 6 SCC 705	825
	✓ Ranjit D. Udeshi v. State of Maharashtra, [1965]1SCR65	829
	✓ Sharat Babu Digumarti v. Govt. of NCT of Delhi, (2017)2SCC18	839